## For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

This Order Relates To: CERTAIN DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFF ACTIONS

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1917

Case No. C-07-5944-SC

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

Now before the Court is a motion filed by Norman T. Finkel and Richard M. Goldwasser ("Counsel") of the law firm of Schoenberg, Finkel, Newman & Rosenberg, LLC to withdraw as counsel on behalf of subpoena respondent Dr. Alan Frankel ("Dr. Frankel"). ECF No. 3131. The time to file a responsive brief passed on December 4, 2014, and no opposition was filed. Currently the motion is set for hearing on Friday, January 9, 2015, however the Court finds no hearing is necessary, and VACATES the hearing date. Civ. L.R. 7-1(b). For the reasons set forth below the motion is GRANTED. Counsel appeared in this litigation to represent Dr. Frankel

in connection with a subpoena served on him in May. Dr. Frankel

resolved by July. Now, Counsel move to withdraw, pointing out that the purpose of their representation has been fulfilled. Counsel sent notice of this motion to Dr. Frankel, advising him of his right to retain other counsel. At that time, Dr. Frankel told them that he has no objection to their withdrawal and will not be retaining any other counsel.

Civil Local Rule 11-5(a) prohibits counsel from withdrawing from an action until (1) the Court has issued an order permitting withdrawal, and (2) written notice has been given to the client and to all other parties. Where, as here, withdrawal is not accompanied by the appearance of substitute counsel or agreement of the party to appear pro se, leave to withdraw may be "subject to the condition that papers may continue to be served on counsel for forwarding purposes . . . . " Civ. L.R. 11-5(b).

The Court finds that because Dr. Frankel is not a party to this case, there is no need to condition withdrawal on continued service on Counsel. Given that the purpose of Counsel's representation is completed, Dr. Frankel has been informed of Counsel's desire to withdraw, has no objection, and does not plan to obtain another attorney, the motion is GRANTED and the hearing is VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: December 18, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE